

SECTION 1983: QUALIFIED IMMUNITY

Karen M. Blum (kblum@suffolk.edu)

Suffolk University Law School

Research Date: January 1, 2006

Author's Note

This outline is arranged under various topic headings that indicate problem areas likely to be encountered in the qualified immunity analysis. **Other than in the material dealing with absolute immunity, immunity for private actors, and that covering the basic scope of the immunity doctrine, the outline arranges the cases according to Circuits under each topic, with the most recent cases listed first.** The outline does contain some district court decisions if they have useful language or analysis.

Please be advised that I do not use research assistants to prepare these outlines, so that any errors are my own. Each updated outline attempts to remove cases that may no longer be good law or to indicate any negative history of a case where important. **It is important that you KeyCite any case you intend to rely on, especially a district court opinion.** Obviously, you should not cite to this outline, but rather directly to cases contained herein.

SECTION 1983: QUALIFIED IMMUNITY

Karen M. Blum (kblum@suffolk.edu)

Suffolk University Law School

Research Date: January 1, 2006

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	A. Note on Absolute Immunity	1
	1. Judicial Immunity	1
	a. Judges	1
	b. Officials Acting in Judicial or Quasi-Judicial Capacity	2
	c. Officials Executing or Enforcing Judicial Orders	5
	2. Prosecutorial Immunity	7
	a. Prosecutors	7
	b. Officials Acting in Advocacy Capacity	17
	3. Witnesses	19
	4. Legislative Immunity	28
	B. Note on Qualified Immunity and Private Actors	35
II.	STRUCTURE OF ANALYSIS FOR QUALIFIED IMMUNITY ...	52
	A. Basic Doctrine	52
	B. Note on Scope of Immunity	71
	C. Analytical Structure Required by <i>Wilson/Saucier</i>	78
	1. Courts Doing <i>Wilson/Saucier</i> Analysis	87
	2. Courts Not Doing <i>Wilson/Saucier</i> Analysis	136
III.	HEIGHTENED PLEADING REQUIREMENT	160
	A. The <i>Leatherman</i> Decision	160
	B. <i>Crawford-El v. Britton</i>	161
	C. <i>Swierkiewicz v. Sorema</i>	164
	D. Cases in the Circuits	164
	E. Note: Motion to Dismiss v. Summary Judgment	195
IV.	STATE OF MIND AND QUALIFIED IMMUNITY	200
V.	DISCOVERY	234

VI.	WHEN IS RIGHT CLEARLY ESTABLISHED?	250
	A. What Law Controls?	250
	B. Defining the Contours of the Right	280
VII.	ROLE OF THE JUDGE/JURY	491
VIII.	QUALIFIED IMMUNITY AND FOURTH AMENDMENT	
	CLAIMS	547
	A. <i>Saucier v. Katz</i>	547
	B. <i>Brosseau v. Haugen</i>	550
	C. Post-<i>Saucier</i> Case Law	550
	D. Post-<i>Brosseau</i> Case Law	606
IX.	AVAILABILITY OF INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL	654
X.	TIMING & FREQUENCY OF APPEALS	731